#### **Traffic Impact Analysis**

# Cultivate Holdings LLC 1764 Main Street Leicester, Massachusetts

June 12, 2018

**Prepared For** 

# **Cultivate Holdings, LLC**

P.O.Box 812006 Wellesley, MA 02482 Rob Lally

NORMAN G.
HILL
CIVIL
No. 31887

Norman G. Hill, P.E.

JUN 13 2018
Town of Leicester

RECEIVED

Town of Leicester
Development & Inspectional Services

#### Introduction

This report provides an analysis of the traffic impacts associated with the existing and proposed expanded uses of the commercial space located at 1764 Main Street, Leicester, MA.

Cultivate Holdings, LLC currently operates medical marijuana production and dispensary facilities at this location. Cultivate proposes to add Adult Use sales in July 2018. While the floor space dedicated to the retail dispensary will not be increased, it is anticipated the trips generated by the expanded use will increase beyond that of the existing operation.

The site is located on Main Street, approximately 200 feet north of Route 9. The location of the project with respect to the area's roadway system is shown on the Parking Plan prepared by Land Planning, Inc., dated April 30, 2018. The parking facilities are also included on this plan.

# **Analysis of Probable Impacts**

The focus of this section is to identify the probable impacts the proposed project will have on anticipated traffic conditions. Included in this section is an estimate of the proposed project travel characteristics.

#### **Project Related Volumes**

In forecasting site generated traffic volumes, standard procedures of trip generation and distribution are followed. The following sections summarize the results.

#### **Trip Generation**

The traffic generated by the Cultivate facility will follow established patterns with respect to magnitude and distribution. The Institute of Transportation Engineers has published relevant data for the existing and proposed uses.

The trip generation forecasts for this project were based on data collected in the field by Land Planning, Inc. Turning movement counts were performed for both the 7:00 AM – 9:00 PM and 4:00 PM – 6:00 PM peak travel periods. The turning movement counts included the Cultivate driveway/Main Street intersection and the nearby Main Street/Route 9 intersection.

Cultivate's operations include 3 uses:

- Retail Classified by the ITE as Land Use code 882, Marijuana Dispensary. The total area dedicated to this use is 988 ft².
- Manufacturing Classified by the ITE as Land Use code 140. This space is used for the processing and packaging product and is 8946 ft² in area.
- Warehousing Classified by the ITE as Land Use code 150. This space is used for the growing operation and product storage. The warehousing space is 12,466 ft² in area.

Existing trip generation for the 3 uses are based upon turning movement counts performed by Land Planning, Inc. The existing trips are attributed to the individual uses based upon observations of where the vehicles park (retail space has dedicated parking), and by the percentage of the volume predicted by the ITE data.

Proposed trip generation is based upon ITE data. The warehousing trips remain fixed at the existing level as the growing and storage operations are not expected to require additional employees. The trips related to the manufacturing and dispensary operations are expected to increase; the majority being related to the expanded dispensary.

Cultivate's existing and projected employment information has also been factored into the trip generation analysis. Cultivate currently has 17 employees and expects to have 32 employees with the expanded dispensary use. The employees are not all simultaneously on site as they are split into shifts. For the proposed conditions, it is assumed that half of each shift of employees will arrive within the AM peak hour, and half of each shift of employees will exit the site within the PM peak hour.

Cultivate's retail hours of operation are 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM. The existing and proposed dispensary operations will not affect the AM peak travel hour. The increases in AM trip rates are attributed to the proposed additional employees.

The existing and proposed generated trips are summarized by use within the following tables:

		Existing	Proposed
AM	Enter	0	0
	Exit	0	0
PM	Enter	5	12
	Exit	5	12

		Existing	Proposed
AM	Enter	4	6
	Exit	1	2
PM	Enter	3	3
	Exit	3	10

		Existing	Proposed
AM	Enter	1	1
	Exit	1	1
PM	Enter	1	1
	Exit	1	2

Trip Generation T	「otals –	All	Uses
-------------------	----------	-----	------

		Existing	Proposed
M	Enter	5	7
	Exit	2	3
M	Enter	9	16
	Exit	13	24

#### Trip Distribution / Assignment

Virtually all site generated trips arrive and exit via Route 9. This analysis assumes that all site traffic will traverse the Main Street/Route 9 intersection as this represents the worst case for impacts to the existing roadways.

The distribution of traffic entering and exiting the site will follow existing established patterns at the Route 9/Main Street intersection: 70% east bound/30% westbound during the morning peak hour, and 40% eastbound/60% westbound during the afternoon peak hour.

# **Analysis**

This section assesses the impact of the proposed development to the existing intersection and roadways.

# **Traffic Volume Increases**

The project will minimally increase traffic volumes on Route 9. This section of Route 9 operates below capacity throughout the day. The free flow of traffic on Route 9 will not be adversely impacted by the expanded use of the site.

# **Level of Service / Capacity**

An analysis of the level of service was performed for the Main Street and Route 9 intersection. No analysis is provided beyond this intersection due to the minimal impact of the expanded use on the Route 9 traffic.

The Main Street traveled way at the Route 9 intersection is wide and has large corner radii. The south bound Main Street traffic has sufficient space to separate the left and right turning traffic. This separation of the left and right turning traffic was observed during the turning movement counts. Therefore, this analysis assumes that the Main Street southbound lane at the intersection is not shared.

A summary of the Level of Service for both existing and proposed conditions is provided within the following table:

Table 2
Level of Service at Main Street/Route 9 Intersection

		Movement	LOS Existing	LOS Proposed
AM	EBL	Route 9 left turn to Main St.	Α	Α
	SBL	Main Street left turn to Rte. 9	D	D
	SBR	Main Street right turn to Rte. 9	Α	В
PM	EBL	Route 9 left turn to Main St.	В	В
	SBL	Main Street left turn to Rte. 9	E	E
	SBR	Main Street right turn to Rte. 9	С	С

#### Conclusions

This analysis resulted in the following conclusions:

- The number of peak hour trips generated by the proposed development is minor and will not have an adverse impact upon Route 9.
- The proposed project will impact, but not significantly degrade the level of service at the Route 9/Main/ Street intersection.
- The proposed expanded use will not impact Main Street traffic at the site driveway.

# **Attachments**

- Intersection Volume diagrams
- Intersection Capacity Analysis

MHG

Int Delay, s/veh	0.8											
			14/5-	14/55	051	000				CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	V 100		VIS BY UIL	The second		CON C
Lane Configurations		4	1		7	7						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	753	317	16	25	0						
Future Vol, veh/h	0	753	317	16	25	0						
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop						
RT Channelized	-	None	1 5	None		None						
Storage Length	-	-	+	-	-	150						
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	0	-	0	Bury-						
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-						
Peak Hour Factor	100	91	85	67	69	100						
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2						
Mvmt Flow	0	827	373	24	36	0						
Major/Minor N	Anior1		Majora		Minor2	HANKE S	CALLET THE SALE			1 5 -4		E MANAGE
	Major1		Major2			385	SC INC.	400 3/4	بالما وتعملي			CARLY PL
Conflicting Flow All	397	0		0	1212 385							
Stage 1												
Stage 2	4.40		-	KI SWIIIV	827							
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	100.	L GLAT	6.42	6.22						
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	Marine and an	-		or statement	5.42							
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	- 0.040	7	5.		5.42	2 240						
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-									
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1162	-	-	-	201	663						
Stage 1	-	7	7	-	688							
Stage 2	- 112	- 11	-	-	430	1.0						
Platoon blocked, %					004	000						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1162	-	1 ( ) ( ) ( ) <del> </del>	V.E.	201	663						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	·	201							
Stage 1	-			17.	688	Vide						
Stage 2	-	*		ATTENDED IN	430	-						
Approach	EB	W. F.	WB		SB			ATTICAL OF				
HCM Control Delay, s	0	FOR	0	POL.	26.8	HELL!	0.17			8,119	STEWE !	PIA I
HCM LOS					D							
Minor Long Marion Ba		EDI	EDT	MOT	MADD	CDI n1 (	Plea				BUTTER	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	IL .	EBL	EBT	WBT	1111	SBLn1 S	Control of	AND THE	ACCOUNTS NOT	Marine and the		
Capacity (veh/h)		1162	MILE E			201						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		· ·	DT Line	0.18	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)		0		-	-	26.8	0					
HCM Lane LOS		A				D	Α					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0	-		-	0.6	-					

Cultivate Volumes

ntersection		454	4				V2.144
nt Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
ane Configurations		4	1		1	7	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	532	840	37	29	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	532	840	37	29	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None		None		None	
Storage Length		-		7	-	150	
Veh in Median Storage	# -	0	0	V	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	_	0	- 1.202020 - 1.202020	
Peak Hour Factor	25	94	89	77	91	100	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	4	566	944	48	32	4	
Major/Minor N	//ajor1	1	Major2		Minor2	HEUCE.	
Conflicting Flow All	992	0		0	1542	968	
Stage 1		FIB			968	\$1.79 <u>.</u> 1	
Stage 2	-	-	12	-	574	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.12			Me	6.42	6.22	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-		18	-	5.42		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	200	0 025	CALL		5.42	15.12	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218			-		3.318	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	697				127	308	
Stage 1	-	2	-		368	2	
Stage 2					563	35-	
Platoon blocked, %			-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	697			M. S	126	308	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-		-	-	126	+	
Stage 1	Edition.	18/12	1/8/2		365	M117-128	
Stage 2	-	_	(#	-	563	-	
olugo 2					300		
			VAID	VI.SHARA	00	TAX DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	OVER SERVICE
Approach	EB		WB		SB	W. I. S.	
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1		0		40.1		
HCM LOS					Е		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	it	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)		697			THE PERSON	120	308
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.006		19.		0.253	
HCM Control Delay (s)		10.2	0	10 112	L.C.	43	16.8
HCM Lane LOS		В	Α	.7	-	Е	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh		0	77 2		5 -	0.9	0

Cultivate Volumes

Intersection	Tan MA					ration of				
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7									
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		WE THE	100	
Lane Configurations		4	1		7	7				
Traffic Vol, veh/h	5	753	317	18	27	1				
Future Vol, veh/h	5	753	317	18	27	1				
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop				
RT Channelized	1000	None		None		None				
Storage Length	-		-		-	150				
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	0	- 7-	0	s Evi				
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	1				
Peak Hour Factor	92	91	85	92	92	92				
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2				
Mymt Flow	5	827	373	20	29	1				
						V 1				
Maine/Minas	Anisad		Maioro		Ainero.	ALCO MADE				Name of the local
	Major1		Major2		Minor2	202				
Conflicting Flow All	393	0	-	0	1220	383				
Stage 1	1,100	100		1000	383					
Stage 2	- 40	-	( <del>+</del>		837	0.00				
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	w 1 2	7	6.42	6.22				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			1.7		5.42					
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-		12.5		5.42	-				
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	7.50		-	3.518					
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1166	1	117.8		199	664				
Stage 1	-	2	-	-	689					
Stage 2	11.0	1		O POR	425	1				
Platoon blocked, %		4	2	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1166	TEFA :	5		197	664				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	H	-		197	-				
Stage 1		1 1 2	174	-	683					
Stage 2		-	7	35	425	-				
SETUVE SETUES TO										
Approach	EB	SICOSSI	WB		SB		ALC: NAME		SILIEU SI	HIBEIG
Approach HCM Control Delay, s	0.1		0		25.8	6 11				
The state of the s	0,1		U		25.6 D					
HCM LOS					U					
								AT I SHE	THE STATE OF	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		1166	177	100	4.15	197	664			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.005	-			0.149				
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	0			26.4	10.4			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	- 2	-	D	В			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1	0		1877		0.5	0			

Cultivate

Intersection		MARK.	TEN.		REAL PROPERTY.		4.45
Int Delay, s/veh	1.5						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	7.		N.	7	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	532	840	47	39	18	
Future Vol, veh/h	7	532	840	47	39	18	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	- 1	None		None		None	
Storage Length	-	3.7	77	-	-	150	
Veh in Median Storag	je,# -	0	0	-14/-	0	10 T	
Grade, %		0	0		0	17	
Peak Hour Factor	92	94	89	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mymt Flow	8	566	944	51	42	20	
Major/Mines	Molard	HEST LINE	Aniero		Miner O		100
Major/Minor	Major1 995		Major2		Minor2 1552	970	ESTA
Conflicting Flow All		0	-	0	970	970	
Stage 1		•		LIES N	582		
Stage 2 Critical Hdwy	4.12		-	NIL TO		6.22	
			- 1.5		6.42		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		(#)	-	-	5.42		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	2 240				5.42	2 240	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	<b>F</b>		3.518		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	695		-	-	125	307	
Stage 1	-		7	5.	368	-	
Stage 2	-		-		559		
Platoon blocked, %		- 2	_		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	A DANGE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	10 1/1 7	123	307	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	123	-	
Stage 1		-		7	362		
Stage 2	94		-		559		
Approach	EB		WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s		On B	0		39		F . V h
HCM LOS	V.1		J		E		
NAME OF THE PARTY							
					- Comprising States		
Minor Lane/Major Mv	mt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1 S	
Capacity (veh/h)		695	-		-	123	307
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.011	-		-	0.345	
HCM Control Delay (s	3)	10.2	0		1	48.9	17.5
HCM Lane LOS		В	Α	- 5		E	C
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	h)	0			1 = -	1.4	0.2